## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		t Charles	P. Kocoras	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER		02 (	C 7103	DATE	1/9/	2003		
CASE TITLE		Brand vs. Rohr-Ville Motors, Inc.						
[In the following box (a of the motion being pr		a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature esented.]						
DOC	CKET ENTRY:	,						
(1)	☐ Filed	l motion of [ use listin	g in "Motion" box ab	ove.]				
(2)	☐ Brief	Brief in support of motion due						
(3)	□ Ansv	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due						
(4)	☐ Rulir	Ruling/Hearing on set for at						
(5)	Statu	Status hearing set for 2/20/2003 at 9:30 A.M						
(6)	☐ Pretr	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(7)	☐ Trial	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at						
(8)	☐ [Ben	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at						
(9)	□ This	his case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  l FRCP4(m)   Local Rule 41.1  FRCP41(a)(1)  FRCP41(a)(2).						
(10)	[Other docket entry] ENTER MEMORANDUM OPINION: Defendant's motion (Doc 4-1) to dismiss is denied. Defendant's motion (contained in said motion to dismiss) to have Standifer joined is granted. Brand is ordered to join Standifer within a reasonable period of time.							
(11)	[For	further detail see orde	r attached to the origi	nal minute order.]				
		advised in open court.				Document Number		
	No notices required.  Notices mailed by judge's staff.				number of notices			
	Notified counsel by telephone.				JAN 0 9 2003 date docketed			
1	Docketing to mail notices.			Ì	O D I			
	Mail AO 450 form.		DISTRICT COUR	.s.u	dockning departy initials	i		
	Copy to Judge/magis	frate judge. 7		re eu				
	SCT	courtroom deputy's	62 :S M9	្រ	date mailed notice			
	1	initials		received in erk's Office	rmiling deputy initials			
		<del></del>	Çentral Cic	ar a Onice				

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

NIKKI BRAND,		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
vs.		)	02 C 7103
ROHR-VILLE MOTORS,	INC.,	)	Docum
	Defendant.	)	DOCKETED JAN 0 9 2002

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

CHARLES P. KOCORAS, Chief District Judge:

This matter comes before the court on Defendant Rohr-Ville Motors, Inc.'s ("Rohr-Ville") motion to dismiss or, alternatively, to join a third party. Plaintiff Nikki Brand failed to answer the motion. For the reasons set forth below, we grant the motion to join the third party and deny the motion to dismiss.

Brand purchased a Kia automobile on credit from Rohr-Ville. Shortly thereafter, Rohr-Ville allegedly revoked its extension of credit to her and repossessed the vehicle in violation of the Illinois Commercial Code. Rohr-Ville allegedly never informed her why her application for financing was denied in violation of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1691. It is also alleged that Rohr-Ville, when rejecting Brand's credit application and repossessing the vehicle, never provided the disclosure required by the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681.

Rohr-Ville alleges (and provides supporting documentation) that Michael Standifer co-purchased the Kia vehicle with Brand. Accordingly, Rohr-Ville seeks to dismiss the case or to have Standifer joined. Rule 12(b)(7) provides for dismissal of an action when there is a "failure to join a party under Rule 19." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(7). Rule 19(b) provides for dismissal only when a Rule 19(a) party "cannot be made a party". Fed. R. Civ. P. 19(b). Assuming for the moment that Standifer qualifies as a Rule 19(a) party, we note that the record is entirely devoid of any indication that he could not be made a party. Thus, the motion to dismiss is denied.

Rule 19(a), on the other hand, does grant some relief at this juncture. Under Rule 19(a) we will order a person to be joined if his absence would prevent complete relief from being conferred among those already parties or would leave any of them subject to a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent liability. Fed. R. Civ. P. 19(a). Brand alleges that Rohr-Ville illegally seized the vehicle that she and Standifer purchased together. Brand does not dispute this and offers no reason for not joining Standifer in this action.

The motion to dismiss is denied. The motion to have Standifer joined is granted. Brand is ordered to join Standifer within a reasonable period of time.

Chief Judge

**United States District Court** 

JAN - 9 2003